

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

DuPage & Cook Counties Township High School District No. 86 (Hinsdale), Illinois; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

John A Kenward, Chicago (1) 312-233-7003; john.kenward@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

David H Smith, Chicago (312) 233-7029; david.smith@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

DuPage & Cook Counties Township High School District No. 86 (Hinsdale), Illinois; General Obligation

Credit Profile

Du Page & Cook Cntys Twp High Sch Dist #86 Hinsdale

Long Term Rating

AAA/Stable

Affirmed

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services has affirmed its 'AAA' long-term rating on DuPage & Cook Counties Township High School District No. 86 (Hinsdale), Ill.'s general obligation (GO) bonds. The outlook is stable.

The rating reflects our assessment of the following credit factors for the district:

- Participation in the Chicago metropolitan area's broad and diverse economy;
- Very strong income level and extremely strong market value per capita indicators;
- Very strong operating reserves, supported by good financial management practices; and
- Low overall net debt burden as a percentage of market value.

The limited-tax GO bonds are secured by a tax levy unlimited as to rate but limited as to amount, with the amount based on the size of the district's debt service extension base (DSEB). The district structured its new and outstanding limited-tax debt so as not to exceed its capacity to use a direct-debt levy.

DuPage & Cook Counties Township High School District No. 86 operates two high school campuses in southeastern DuPage County and southwestern Cook County, approximately 20 miles west of downtown Chicago. The 29 square-mile district serves about 74,600 people residing in Hinsdale as well as large portions of Burr Ridge, Clarendon Hills, and other communities. Residents benefit from a wide range of employment opportunities in the western suburbs and are connected to downtown Chicago via Metra commuter train. Median household effective buying income is strong, in our opinion, at 159% of the nation's level, while per capita EBI is very strong at 213%.

Enrollment has decreased 3% since 2009-2010, to 4,445 in 2014-2015. Management projects that enrollment will be stable over the next few years. We do not believe that declining enrollment is a negative issue for the district since the district receives little general state aid, which amounted to only \$1.09 million, or 1.3% of educational fund revenue in fiscal 2014 (June 30). Because federal aid for fiscal 2014 also amounted to only \$1.09 million of educational fund revenue, it is our view that the rating on the district's debt can exceed the U.S. sovereign rating.

The district's equalized assessed value (AV) decreased 24% from 2009 to 2014, to \$4.75 billion. Market value is currently \$14.26 billion, which in our view is an extremely strong \$191,000 per capita. The tax base is very diverse, with the 10 leading taxpayers accounting for only about 3% of AV.

The district is subject to a levy cap equal to the lesser of 5% or the rate of inflation except with regard to new construction. The district reported a \$1.3 million surplus for fiscal 2013 and a \$1.6 million surplus for fiscal 2014 for the combined educational and operations and maintenance (O&M) funds). As result, the combined fund balance for the two funds grew to \$46.65 million, which is a very strong 50% of expenditures. The district holds additional reserves in the working cash fund, which reported an unassigned fund balance of \$7.43 million at the end of fiscal 2014, which is another 8% of expenditures for the combined educational and O&M funds. For fiscal 2015, management projects that the district will report break-even operations for the educational fund, an O&M surplus of about \$1.3 million, and a working cash fund transfer of \$500,000 to a pension fund. Although the district recently reported that \$1.2 million of its cash assets invested in a multigovernment investment fund are currently frozen due to the fund's investment in a transaction that turned out to be fraudulent, management reports that the district expects to eventually recover most of that amount.

Standard & Poor's considers the district's financial management practices "good" under its Financial Management Assessment (FMA). An FMA of "good" indicates that practices exist in most areas, although not all might be formalized or regularly monitored by governance officials. Management prepares its budgets with the help of five-year financial projections and a three-year capital facilities plan. Although the district does not have a formal fund balance policy, management's target is to maintain operating cash reserves equal to at least three months of expenditures.

In our opinion, the overall net debt burden is low at 1.3% of market value and moderate at \$2,450 per capita. Debt service currently makes up a low 2.7% of the total government fund expenditures. Amortization is a little above average, with 55% of debt scheduled to mature within 10 years. Management does not have debt plans at this time.

The district's teachers are covered by the state-sponsored Teachers Retirement System (TRS), to which the state makes annual contributions on behalf of the district. The district also makes supplemental payments to TRS, contributes to the Teachers' Health Insurance System, and subsidizes health care benefits for nonteaching employees. Nonteaching staff members are covered by the multiple-employer Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund, to which the district pays its full annual required contribution each year. The district's pension and retiree health care expenses totaled \$2.4 million in fiscal 2014, which amounted to only 2.4% of total governmental funds expenditures.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Standard & Poor's expectation that that the rating will not change in the next two years because we believe the district will continue to maintain a very strong fund balance position with the help of its good financial management practices. The district's participation in the diverse Chicago metropolitan area economy supports the outlook. Although we consider it unlikely, we may lower the rating if the district falls into a multiyear fiscal imbalance that results in a substantial drop in reserves.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Key General Obligation Ratio Credit Ranges – Analysis Vs. Reality, April 2, 2008
- USPF Criteria: Financial Management Assessment, June 27, 2006
- USPF Criteria: State Credit Enhancement Programs, Nov. 13, 2008
- Ratings Above The Sovereign: Corporate And Government Ratings—Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.